

**EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT**

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Daniel Shanley on June 15, 2010.

The application has been amended as follows:

Claim 22, line 13:

“so that a ridge is” has been changed to “so that upper and lower ridges are”

Claim 22, line 15:

“the ridge” has been changed to “the upper and lower ridges”

Claim 43, line 16:

“so that a ridge is” has been changed to “so that upper and lower ridges are”

Claim 43, line 18:

“the ridge” has been changed to “the upper and lower ridges”

Claim 51, line 13:

“so that a ridge is” has been changed to “so that upper and lower ridges are”

Claim 51, line 15:

“the ridge” has been changed to “the upper and lower ridges”

2. The following changes to the drawings have been approved by the examiner and agreed upon by applicant: Applicant is providing drawings for FIG 1 and FIG 2 to replace the ones

submitted on June 2, 2010 such that the new drawings match the ones submitted on September 30, 2008. In order to avoid abandonment of the application, applicant must make these above agreed upon drawing changes.

***Election/Restrictions***

3. Claims 22, 25, 28-33, 36-41, and 43-50 are directed to an allowable product. Pursuant to the procedures set forth in MPEP § 821.04(B), claims 51-53, directed to the process of making or using an allowable product, previously withdrawn from consideration as a result of a restriction requirement, are hereby rejoined and fully examined for patentability under 37 CFR 1.104.

Because all claims previously withdrawn from consideration under 37 CFR 1.142 have been rejoined, **the restriction requirement as set forth in the Office action mailed on August 7, 2009 is hereby withdrawn**. In view of the withdrawal of the restriction requirement as to the rejoined inventions, applicant(s) are advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Once the restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. See *In re Ziegler*, 443 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ELANA B. FISHER whose telephone number is (571)270-3643. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30AM to 5:00PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on (571)272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Elana B Fisher/  
Examiner, Art Unit 3733  
/Eduardo C. Robert/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3733